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## Abstract:

## Inroduction:

The objective of the present paper is to analyze dynamic response of the Timoshenko thin-walled beam with coupled bending and torsional vibrations under deterministic loads. The governing differential equations were obtained by using Hamilton's principle. The Timoshenko beam theory was employed and the effects of shear deformations, Rotary inertia and warping stiffness were included in the present formulations. Dynamic features of underlined beam are obtained using free vibration analysis.

## Methods:

For this purpose, the dynamic stiffness matrix method is used. Application of exact dynamic stiffness matrix method on the movement differential equations led to the issue of nonlinear eigenvalue problem that was solved by using Wittrick-Williams algorithm . Differential equations for the displacement response of asymmetric thin-walled Timoshenko beams subjected to deterministic loads are used for extracting orthogonality property of vibrational modes.

## Results:

Finally the numerical results for dynamic response in a sample of mentioned beams is presented. The presented theory is relatively general and can be used for various kinds of deterministic loading in Timoshenko thin-walled beams.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Lightness of thin-walled beams is one of the reasons for their high performance. These beams are widely used in structures and aerospace industries. If the cross section of the thin-walled beams is mono- symmetric or asymmetric, the center of mass and the center of shear will not fit in each other. This causes a relative complexity in the behavior of these beams. Because in such a case, the bending and torsion modes are coupled and ultimately make it difficult to precisely predict the dynamic characteristics of these beams. One of the most powerful tools for solving such problems is the use of the dynamic stiffness matrix method. This method has advantages over finite element method. In the finite element method, the characteristics of an element is extracted using assumed shape functions for that element, so they are not exact. In dynamic stiffness matrix method, the dynamic characteristics are obtained from the analytical solution of the governing differential equations, so we can say that they are exact. Over the last few years, many studies have been performed in the field of formulation of dynamic stiffness matrix (DSM) of beams. The dynamic stiffness matrix of a Timoshenko beam was investigated by Cheng [1] for the first time. Williams and Howson [2] considered the effect

[^0]of axial load on the natural frequencies of Timoshenko beam. Banerjee [3] studied a beam with a section having one axis of symmetry and derived some explicit terms for the stiffness matrix arrays regardless of axial load effect. Banerjee and Williams [4] investigated dynamic stiffness matrix for coupled flexural-torsional vibration of Timoshenko beam. Banerjee et al. [5] studied warping effect on the formulation of dynamic stiffness matrix. Bercin and Tanaka [6] surveyed coupled flexural-torsional vibrations of uniform beam having single symmetric section, considering conventional support conditions. Li Jun et al. [7] derived the free vibrations of thin-walled Timoshenko beam under axial load, in which the effects of axial load, warping stiffness, shear deformation, and rotational inertia were taken into consideration and it was used from continuous model. Rafezy and Howson [8] derived the dynamic stiffness matrix of a three-dimensional (3D) shear-torsion beam with an asymmetric cross-section. The beam had the unusual theoretical property, so that, it allowed only for shear deformation but not bending deformation. Ghandi et al. [9] replaced EulerBernoulli theory with Timoshenko theory when the external layer of thin-walled beam is modeled and they assumed that the thin-walled part of the beam could have either open or closed section shape and would create flexural, shear, warping and Saint- Venant rigidities. Ghandi et al. [10] also derived the dynamic stiffness matrix of uniform beam with asymmetric cross section and elastic support under axial load. The mentioned beam consisted of an external enclosed thin-walled layer that was combined with a shear resistant filled core. Ghandi and Shiri [11] investigated the effect of the eccentricity of axial load on the natural frequencies of asymmetric thin-walled beams using exact dynamic stiffness matrix method.

Many researches have been performed in the field of the response of beams with symmetric cross-section subjected to deterministic and random dynamic loads. Eslimi-esfahani et al. [12] analytically investigated the dynamic response of beam with coupled flexural-torsional vibration subjected to deterministic and stochastic dynamic loads for the first time. In another study, Eslimy-Isfahany and Banerjee [13] analytically calculated dynamic response of beam with constant axial load with coupled flexural-torsional vibration under definitive and stochastic dynamic loads by using modal analysis method. Li Jun et al. [14] derived an explicit term for dynamic response of single symmetric Timoshenko beam subjected to stochastic excitations. Following the previous work, Li Jun et al. [15] derived the effects of axial load by the calculation of dynamic response of single symmetric Timoshenko beam against stochastic excitations.

In most of these researches, cross-section of the beam was mono-symmetric and Euler-Bernouli theory was used to model bending of beam. Moreover, to model beam bending, Euler-Bernouli theory is not capable of providing correct results when beams with large sections compared to their lengths or extraction of natural frequencies of higher modes are under study. In such conditions, Timoshenko beam theory in which, shear deformation and rotary inertia parameters are considered, should be employed. In this paper, considering the effect of definitive dynamic load, the analytical dynamic response of 3D flexural-torsional beam with asymmetric cross-section will be investigated by the help of exact dynamic stiffness matrix and modal analysis methods.

## 2. THEORY

The cross-section of the intended beam is shown in Fig. (1). This beam is a uniform 3D beam with asymmetric cross-section. The Timoshenko beam theory is used for modeling the bending beam. This beam has flexural rigidities of $E I_{x}$ and $E I_{y}$ in $x-z$ and $y-z$ planes, torsional warping rigidity of $E I_{\omega}$, torsional Saint- Venant rigidity of $G_{t} J_{t}$ and shear rigidities of $G_{t} A_{x t}$ and $G_{t} A_{y y}$, where $G_{t}$ is the shear modulus, $J_{t}$ is the section torsional constant, $A_{x t}$ and $A_{y t}$ are equivalent shear section in $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively. In Fig. (1), the center of gravity is denoted by $C$, and shear center is shown by $O$. The axes crossing the center of gravity and shear center are known as mass axis and bending axis, respectively. The origin of the coordinate system, is placed at $O, x$ and $y$ axes are in the direction of main axes of the cross-section and $z$ axis coincides with the bending axis. The location of the point C in the co-ordinate system Oxy is given by $\left(x_{\mathrm{c}}, y_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$. The beam total mass is distributed along its length as uniform distributed load and $m$ is the beam mass per unit length. The flexural translation in the x and y directions and torsional rotation about the z -axis are represented by $u(z, t), v(z, t)$ and $\varphi(z, \mathrm{t})$, respectively. During the translation phase $u(z, t)$ and $v(z, t))$ the shear center moves to $O^{\prime}$ and the mass center $C$ moves to $C^{\prime}$. During the rotation phase $(\varphi(Z, t))$, the mass center moves additionally from $C^{\prime}$ to $C^{\prime \prime}$. The external loads applied on the thin-walled beam include unit length forces $f_{x}(z, t)$ and $f_{y}(z, t)$, which are applied on the bending axis in the directions of $x$ and $y$ axes, respectively, unit length bending moment $m_{x}(z, t)$ in the $x-z$ plane around y axis, unit length bending moment $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})$ in the $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{z}$ plane around y axis and also unit length torsion moment $g$ $(z, t)$ that is applied around the bending axis (Fig. 2).


Fig. (1). (a) 3D thin-walled beam with a length of $L$ and asymmetric section, (b) deformed shape of the cross-section after translational and torsional displacements.


Fig. (2). Externally applied loads on a thin-walled beam.
The differential equations governing the beam moment are as five coupled partial differential equations that are defined bellow using Hamilton's principle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{\partial^{2} u(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-m y_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{\partial^{2} u(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}+G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{\partial \theta_{x}(z, t)}{\partial z}=f_{x}(z, t) \tag{1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
m \frac{\partial^{2} v(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+m x_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{\partial^{2} v(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}+G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}(z, t)}{\partial z}=f_{y}(z, t)  \tag{1b}\\
\rho I_{x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-E I_{x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{\partial u(z, t)}{\partial z}+G_{t} A_{x t} \theta_{x}(z, t)=m_{x}(z, t)  \tag{1c}\\
\rho I_{y} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-E I_{y} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{\partial v(z, t)}{\partial z}+G_{t} A_{y t} \theta_{y}(z, t)=m_{y}(z, t)  \tag{1d}\\
-m y_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} u(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+m x_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} v(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+m r_{m}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-G_{t} J_{t} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}+E I_{\omega} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi(z, t)}{\partial z^{4}}=g(z, t) \tag{1e}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\theta_{x}(Z, t)$ and $\theta_{y}(Z, t)$ are the rotation of the cross-section due to bending in the $x-z$ and $y-z$ planes, respectively. $p$, is the material density of the thin-walled beam.

Also by using Hamilton's principle, the expressions for shear forces $Q_{x}(z, t)$ and $Q_{y}(z, t)$, bending moments $M_{x}(z, t)$ and $M_{y}(z, t)$, torsional moment $T(z, t)$ and Bi-moment $B(z, t)$ can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{x}(z, t)=-E I_{x}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}(z, t)}{\partial z}\right)  \tag{2a}\\
M_{y}(z, t)=-E I_{y}\left(\frac{\partial \theta y(z, t)}{\partial z}\right)  \tag{2b}\\
B(z, t)=-E I_{\omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}\right)  \tag{2c}\\
Q_{x}(z, t)=-G_{t} A_{x t}\left(\frac{\partial u(z, t)}{\partial z}-\theta_{x}(z, t)\right)  \tag{2d}\\
Q_{y}(z, t)=-G_{t} A_{y t}\left(\frac{\partial v(z, t)}{\partial z}-\theta_{y}(z, t)\right)  \tag{2e}\\
T(z, t)=E I_{w}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} \phi(z, t)}{\partial z^{3}}\right)-G_{t} J_{t}\left(\frac{\partial \phi(z, t)}{\partial z}\right) \tag{2f}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. FREE VIBRATION ANALYSIS

In order to determine natural frequencies and vibration modes, it is required to perform the undamped free vibration analysis of the system. For this purpose, the external applying forces are considered equal to zero and thus, the above equations could be written as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& m \frac{\partial^{2} u(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-m y_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{\partial^{2} u(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}+G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{\partial \theta_{x}(z, t)}{\partial z}=0  \tag{3a}\\
& m \frac{\partial^{2} v(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+m x_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{\partial^{2} v(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}+G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}(z, t)}{\partial z}=0  \tag{3b}\\
& \rho I_{x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-E I_{x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{\partial u(z, t)}{\partial z}+G_{t} A_{x t} \theta_{x}(z, t)=0 \tag{3c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho I_{y} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-E I_{y} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{y}(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{\partial v(z, t)}{\partial z}+G_{t} A_{y t} \theta_{y}(z, t)=0  \tag{3d}\\
-m y_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} u(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+m x_{c} \frac{\partial^{2} v(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+m r_{m}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial t^{2}}-G_{t} J_{t} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(z, t)}{\partial z^{2}}+E I_{\omega} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi(z, t)}{\partial z^{4}}=0 \tag{3e}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to analyze the free vibration, the answers of $u(z, t), v(z, t) \theta(z, t)$, and $\theta(z, t)$ are written as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(z, t)=U_{r}(z) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega_{r} t} \quad, \quad v(z, t)=V_{r}(z) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega_{r} t} \quad, \quad \theta_{x}(z, t)=\Theta_{x r}(z) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega_{r} t}  \tag{4}\\
& \theta_{y}(z, t)=\Theta_{y r}(z) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega_{r} t} \quad, \quad \phi(z, t)=\Phi_{r}(z) \mathrm{e}^{i \omega_{r} t}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above relations, $r=1,2,3 \ldots$ represents the vibrational mode number.
Substituting equation (4) into equation (3), gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
-m \omega_{r}^{2} U_{r}(z)+m y_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}+G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z}=0  \tag{5a}\\
-m \omega_{r}^{2} V_{r}(z)-m x_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d^{2} V_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}+G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z}=0  \tag{5b}\\
-\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)-E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z}+G_{t} A_{x t} \Theta_{x r}(z)=0  \tag{5c}\\
-\rho I_{y} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)-E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d V_{r}(z)}{d z}+G_{t} A_{y t} \Theta_{y r}(z)=0  \tag{5d}\\
m y_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} U_{r}(z)-m x_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} V_{r}(z)-m r_{m}^{2} \omega_{r}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)-G_{t} J_{t} \frac{d^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}+E I_{\omega} \frac{d^{4} \Phi_{r}(z)}{d z^{4}}=0 \tag{5e}
\end{gather*}
$$

By applying the dynamic stiffness matrix method on the above governing differential equations, we can obtain the natural frequencies and mode shapes. For this purpose, [9-11] references can be seen.

Equations ( $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -m \omega_{r}^{2} U_{r}(z)+m y_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)=G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z}  \tag{6a}\\
& -m \omega_{r}^{2} V_{r}(z)-m x_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)=G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d^{2} V_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z}  \tag{6b}\\
& -\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)-E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}=G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z}-G_{t} A_{x t} \Theta_{x r}(z)  \tag{6c}\\
& -\rho I_{y} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)-E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z^{2}}=G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d V_{r}(z)}{d z}-G_{t} A_{y t} \Theta_{y r}(z) \tag{6d}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. EXTRACTION OF THE ORTHOGONALITY PROPERTIES

The most significant property of the mode shapes is that they form a set of orthogonal mathematical functions. To analyze the forced vibrations, the orthogonality condition would have to be used. The orthogonality conditions are applied to any two different modes, they cannot be applied to two modes having the same frequency. For discrete
systems, the orthogonality conditions are available in all references related to the dynamics of structures. The studied beam in this paper is a distributed properties system. The vibration mode shapes derived for beams with distributed properties have orthogonality relationships equivalent to those for the discrete parameter systems. Orthogonality conditions for three-dimensional asymmetric thin-walled Timoshenko beam is derived in this paper as follows:

Substituting equation (6c) into (6a) and also equation (6d) into (6b) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -m \omega_{r}^{2} U_{r}(z)+m y_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)=-\left[\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z}+E I_{x} \frac{d^{3} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{3}}\right]  \tag{7a}\\
& -m \omega_{r}^{2} V_{r}(z)-m x_{c} \omega_{r}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z)=-\left[\rho I_{y} \omega_{r}^{2} \frac{d \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z}+E I_{y} \frac{d^{3} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z^{3}}\right] \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (7a) by $U_{s}(z)$ (sth vibrational mode) and integrating with respect to Z gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m U_{r}(z) U_{s}(z) d z+\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m y_{c}(z) U_{s}(z) \phi_{r}(z) d z=-\int_{0}^{L}\left[\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z}+E I_{x} \frac{d^{3} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{3}}\right] U_{s}(z) d z \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the last integration in this equation is performed by parts, it is found to give

$$
-\left|\left[E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}+\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)\right] U_{s}(z)\right| \begin{align*}
& L  \tag{9}\\
& 0
\end{align*}+\int_{0}^{L}\left[E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}+\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)\right] \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z} d z
$$

The integrated term is nil because from equations (6c) and (2d), it can be seen that the contents of the square brackets are equal to the shear force which vanishes at the boundaries $\mathrm{z}=0$ and $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{L}$ at a free end. Also at a fixed end, simply supporting the end value of $U(\mathrm{z})$, it is equal to zero and then the above integrated term is nil.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z}\right) \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z}\right|_{0}^{L}-\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}} d z+\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z} d z \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following this, again the integrated term vanishes since the bracketed term is equal to bending moment which is zero at the extremities, so finally the equation (8) is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m U_{r}(z) U_{s}(z) d z+\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m y_{c} \Phi_{r}(z) U_{s}(z) d z & =-\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}}  \tag{11}\\
& +\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z} d z
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the solving stages begin with equation (7a) rewritten for the $s$ th mode and multiplied throughout by $U_{r}(\mathrm{z})$ ( $r$ th vibrational mode), and by following the same steps it gives,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m U_{s}(z) U_{r}(z) d z+\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m y_{c} \Phi_{s}(z) U_{r}(z) d z & =-\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}} d z  \tag{12}\\
& +\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z} d z
\end{align*}
$$

If the last two equations are subtracted, it can now be observed that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) & \int_{0}^{L} m U_{r}(z) U_{s}(z) d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m y_{c} \Phi_{r}(z) U_{s}(z) d z+\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m y_{c} \Phi_{s}(z) U_{r}(z) d z=  \tag{13}\\
& \int_{0}^{L} E I_{x}\left(\frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}}-\frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}\right) d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z} d z \\
& +\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z} d z
\end{align*}
$$

By performing the similar operation for equation (7b), the following result is finally obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} m V_{r}(z) V_{s}(z) d z+\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m x_{c} \Phi_{r}(z) V_{s}(z) d z-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m x_{c} \Phi_{s}(z) V_{r}(z) d z=  \tag{14}\\
& \quad \int_{0}^{L} E I_{y}\left(\frac{d \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} V_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}}-\frac{d \Theta_{y s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} V_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}\right) d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \frac{d V_{s}(z)}{d z} d z \\
& \quad+\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z) \frac{d V_{r}(z)}{d z} d z
\end{align*}
$$

By dividing equation (6c) to $G_{t} A_{x t}$ and (6d) to $G_{t} A_{y t}$ find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z}=\Theta_{x r}(z)-\left[\left\{E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}+\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)\right\} / \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{xt}}\right]  \tag{15a}\\
& \frac{d V_{r}(z)}{d z}=\Theta_{y r}(z)-\left[\left\{E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z^{2}}+\rho I_{y} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)\right\} / \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{yt}}\right] \tag{15b}
\end{align*}
$$

When equation (15a) is differentiated with respect to z, multiplied by $E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z}$ and then integrated with
respect to z, finally it gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}} d z & =\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z} d z+\int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}} E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z  \tag{16}\\
& +\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

When the suffices $r$ and $s$ in this last equation are interchanged, it is found that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}} d z & =\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z} d z+\int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z^{2}} E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z  \tag{17}\\
& +\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

By subtracting equation (16) from (17), the following relation is obtained.

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x}\left(\frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}} \frac{d^{2} U_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}}-\frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z^{2}} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}\right) d z & =\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z  \tag{18}\\
& -\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

By performing the similar operation for equation (15b), the following result is finally obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{L} E I_{y}\left(\frac{d \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} V_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}}-\frac{d \Theta_{y s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} V_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}\right) d z & =\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z) E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z  \tag{19}\\
& -\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y s}(z)}{d z^{2}}}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying equation (15a) throughout by $\omega_{s}^{2} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z)$ and integrating with respect to z , give,

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z} & =\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) \Theta_{x r}(z) d z-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z  \tag{20}\\
& -\omega_{r}^{2} \omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\left(\rho I_{x}\right)^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z) \Theta_{x s}(z)}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

Interchanging $r$ and $s$ gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z} d z & =\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \Theta_{x s}(z) d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z  \tag{21}\\
& -\omega_{s}^{2} \omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\left(\rho I_{x}\right)^{2} \Theta_{x s}(z) \Theta_{x r}(z)}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

When these last two equations are subtracted, they give

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z}-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z} d z=\left(\omega_{s}^{2}-\omega_{r}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \Theta_{x s}(z) d z  \tag{22}\\
-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z+\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z)}{G_{t} A_{x t}} d z
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying equation (15b) throughout by $\omega_{s}^{2} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z)$ and integrating with respect to z , give:

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z) \frac{d V_{r}(z)}{d z} d z & =\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \Theta_{y s}(z) d z-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z)}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z  \tag{23}\\
& -\omega_{r}^{2} \omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\left(\rho I_{y}\right)^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z) \Theta_{y s}(z)}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

When the suffices $r$ and $s$ in this last equation are interchanged, the equation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \frac{d V_{s}(z)}{d z} d z & =\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z) \Theta_{y r}(z) d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y s}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z  \tag{24}\\
& -\omega_{r}^{2} \omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\left(\rho I_{y}\right)^{2} \Theta_{y s}(z) \Theta_{y r}(z)}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z
\end{align*}
$$

Subtraction now reveals that,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z) \frac{d V_{r}(z)}{d z} d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \frac{d V_{s}(z)}{d z} d z=\left(\omega_{s}^{2}-\omega_{r}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \Theta_{y s}(z) d z  \tag{25}\\
-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z)}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z+\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y s}(z)}{d z^{2}} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z)}{G_{t} A_{y t}} d z
\end{gather*}
$$

If equation (18) and (22) are now added together, they give

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega_{s}^{2}-\omega_{r}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \Theta_{x s}(z) d z & =\int_{0}^{L} E I_{x}\left(\frac{d \Theta_{x r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}}-\frac{d \Theta_{x s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}\right) d z  \tag{26}\\
& +\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x s}(z) \frac{d U_{r}(z)}{d z} d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \frac{d U_{s}(z)}{d z} d z
\end{align*}
$$

Also if equations (19) and (25) are now added together, they give

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega_{s}^{2}-\omega_{r}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \Theta_{y s}(z) d z & =\int_{0}^{L} E I_{y}\left(\frac{d \Theta_{y r}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} V_{s}(z)}{d z^{2}}-\frac{d \Theta_{y s}(z)}{d z} \frac{d^{2} V_{r}(z)}{d z^{2}}\right) d z  \tag{27}\\
& +\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y s}(z) \frac{d V_{r}(z)}{d z} d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \frac{d V_{s}(z)}{d z} d z
\end{align*}
$$

Comparison of equation (26) with that of equation (13) reveals that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega_{s}^{2}-\omega_{r}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \Theta_{x s}(z) d z & =\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} m U_{r}(z) U_{s}(z) d z-\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m y_{c} \Phi_{r}(z) U_{s}(z) d z  \tag{28}\\
& +\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m y_{c} \Phi_{s}(z) U_{r}(z) d z
\end{align*}
$$

Also the comparison of equation (27) with (14) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\omega_{s}^{2}-\omega_{r}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \Theta_{y s}(z) d z=\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} m V_{r}(z) V_{s}(z) d z+\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m x_{c} \Phi_{r}(z) V_{s}(z) d z  \tag{29}\\
-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} m x_{c} \Phi_{s}(z) V_{r}(z) d z
\end{gather*}
$$

In this step, equation (5e) is written for the $\mathrm{r}^{\text {th }}$ and $\mathrm{s}^{\text {th }}$ modes; these are multiplied throughout by $\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{Z})$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{Z})$ integrated with respect to z. Finally it gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} m r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z) \Phi_{s}(z) d z+m x_{c}\left[\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} V_{r}(z) \Phi_{s}(z) d z-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} V_{s}(z) \Phi_{r}(z) d z\right]  \tag{30}\\
& \quad-m y_{c}\left[\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} U_{r}(z) \Phi_{s}(z) d z-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} U_{s}(z) \Phi_{r}(z) d z\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (28), (29) and (30) may be added together to give

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} & {\left[\left(m r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r}(z) \Phi_{s}(z)+m V_{\mathrm{r}}(z) V_{\mathrm{s}}(z)+m U_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{z}) U_{\mathrm{s}}(z)+\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r}(z) \Theta_{x s}(z)\right.\right.}  \tag{31}\\
& \left.+\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r}(z) \Theta_{y s}(z)\right)+m x_{c}\left(V_{s}(z) \Phi_{r}(z)+V_{r}(z) \Phi_{s}(z)\right) \\
& \left.-m y_{c}\left(U_{s}(z) \Phi_{r}(z)+U_{r}(z) \Phi_{s}(z)\right)\right] d z=0
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equation, if $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{s}$, then the following equation is obtained.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{r}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L}\left\{m\left(r_{m}^{2}\left[\Phi_{r}(z)\right]^{2}+\left[V_{r}(z)\right]^{2}+\left[U_{r}(z)\right]^{2}\right)+\rho I_{x}\left[\Theta_{x r}(z)\right]^{2}+\rho I_{y}\left[\Theta_{y r}(z)\right]^{2}\right.  \tag{32}\\
\left.+2 m x_{c} V_{r}(z) \Phi_{r}(z)-2 m y_{c} U_{r}(z) \Phi_{r}(z)\right\} d z=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The above relation is satisfied because, $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}-\omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right)=0$, so the result of the integral is a constant value as follows,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{L}\left\{m\left(r_{m}^{2}\left[\Phi_{r}(z)\right]^{2}+\left[V_{r}(z)\right]^{2}+\left[U_{r}(z)\right]^{2}\right)+\rho I_{x}\left[\Theta_{x r}(z)\right]^{2}+\rho I_{y}\left[\Theta_{y r}(z)\right]^{2}\right.  \tag{33}\\
\left.+2 m x_{c} V_{r}(z) \Phi_{r}(z)-2 m y_{c} U_{r}(z) \Phi_{r}(z)\right\} d z=\mu_{r}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $r \neq s$, so that $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}-\omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right) \neq 0$ therefore, to derive equation (31), we must have the following relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{L}\left[m r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r} \Phi_{s}+m V_{r} V_{s}+m U_{r} U_{s}+\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \Theta_{x s}+\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \Theta_{y s}+m x_{c}\left(V_{s} \Phi_{r}+V_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right.  \tag{34}\\
& \left.\quad-m y_{c}\left(U_{s} \Phi_{r}+U_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right] d z=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (33) and (34) can be shown as the following general form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L}\left[\left(m r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r} \Phi_{s}+m V V_{s}+m U_{r} U_{s}\right)+\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \Theta_{x s}+\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \Theta_{y s}+m x_{c}\left(V_{s} \Phi_{r}+V_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right.  \tag{35}\\
& \left.\quad-m y_{c}\left(U_{s} \Phi_{r}+U_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right] d z=\mu_{r} \delta_{s r}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation shows the orthogonality condition for different mode shapes of the thin-walled Timoshenko beams with asymmetric cross-section. $\mu$ is the generalized mass in the $r^{\text {th }}$ mode, and $\delta_{\text {sr }}$ the Kronecker delta function defined as follows:

$$
\delta_{s r}= \begin{cases}1 & s=r  \tag{36}\\ 0 & s \neq r\end{cases}
$$

With the free vibration natural frequencies, mode shapes and orthogonality condition described above, we can calculate dynamic response of the Timoshenko thin-walled beam under deterministic loads.

## 5. DYNAMIC RESPONSE ANALYTICAL CALCULATION

Now, the partial differential equations (1) are taken into consideration that are required to be solved for the applied external forces of $f_{x}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), f_{y}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), m_{x}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), m_{y}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})$, and $g(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})$. Assuming that the eigenvalue problem is solved for extracting natural frequencies and modes, the response against the applied loads is obtained from the linear combination of the modes as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) U_{r}(z)  \tag{37a}\\
& v(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) V_{r}(z)  \tag{37b}\\
& \theta_{x}(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) \Theta_{x r}(z)  \tag{37c}\\
& \theta_{y}(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) \Theta_{y r}(z)  \tag{37d}\\
& \varphi(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) \Phi_{r}(z) \tag{37e}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above equations, $q_{r}(\mathrm{t})$ is the modal coordinate (time coordinate) of the $r$ th mode. As a result, the responses $u$ $(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), \theta_{\mathrm{x}}(z, t), \theta_{\mathrm{y}}(z, t)$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{x}}(z, t)$ are defined as the total participation effects of each mode. The $r^{\text {th }}$ term in the series of equation (37) represents the participation rate of the $r^{\text {th }}$ mode.

Substituting equations (37) into equations (1) and the introduction of the non-dimensional variable $\xi=\frac{z}{L}$ then yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[m U_{r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)-m y_{c} \Phi_{r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d^{2} U_{r}}{d z^{2}} q_{r}(t)+G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d \Theta_{x r}}{d z} q_{r}(t)\right]=f_{x}(\xi, t)  \tag{38a}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[m V_{r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)+m x_{c} \Phi_{r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d^{2} V_{r}}{d z^{2}} q_{r}(t)+G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d \Theta_{y r}}{d z} q_{r}(t)\right]=f_{y}(\xi, t)  \tag{38b}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)-E I_{x} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{x r}}{d z^{2}} q_{r}(t)-G_{t} A_{x t} \frac{d U_{r}}{d z} q_{r}(t)+G_{t} A_{x t} \Theta_{x r} q_{r}(t)\right]=m_{x}(\xi, t)  \tag{38c}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[-m y_{c} U_{r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)+m x_{c} V_{n} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)+m r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)-G_{t} J_{t} \frac{d^{2} \Phi_{r}}{d z^{2}} q_{r}(t)+E I_{w} \frac{d^{4} \Phi_{r}}{d z^{4}} q_{r}(t)\right]=g(\xi, t)  \tag{38d}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)-E I_{y} \frac{d^{2} \Theta_{y r}}{d z^{2}} q_{r}(t)-G_{t} A_{y t} \frac{d V_{r}}{d z} q_{r}(t)+G_{t} A_{y t} \Theta_{y r} q_{r}(t)\right]=m_{y}(\xi, t) \tag{38e}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting equations (5) into equations (38) gives:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[m\left(U_{r}-y_{c} \Phi_{r}\right) \ddot{q}_{r}(t)+m \omega_{r}^{2}\left(U_{r}-y_{c} \Phi_{r}\right) q_{r}(t)\right]=f_{x}(\xi, t)  \tag{39a}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[m\left(V_{r}+x_{c} \Phi_{r}\right) \ddot{q}_{r}(t)+m \omega_{r}^{2}\left(V_{r}+x_{c} \Phi_{r}\right) q_{r}(t)\right]=f_{y}(\xi, t)  \tag{39b}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)+\rho I_{x} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{x r} q_{r}(t)\right]=m_{x}(\xi, t)  \tag{39c}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \ddot{q}_{r}(t)+\rho I_{y} \omega_{r}^{2} \Theta_{y r} q_{r}(t)\right]=m_{y}(\xi, t)  \tag{39d}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[m \omega_{r}^{2}\left(x_{c} V_{r}-y_{c} U_{r}+r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r}\right) q_{r}(t)+m\left(x_{c} V_{r}-y_{c} U_{r}+r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r}\right) \ddot{q}_{r}(t)\right]=g(\xi, t) \tag{39e}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this step, each sentence of (39a) in $U_{s}$, each sentence of (39b) in $V_{s}$, each sentence of (39c) in $\Theta_{x s}$, each sentence of ( 39 d ) in $\Theta_{\mathrm{ys}}$ and each sentence of (39e) are multiplied by $\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ and integrated throughout the beam. After adding the obtained equations, the equation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} m \omega_{r}^{2}\left[U_{r} U_{s}+V_{r} V_{s}+r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r} \Phi_{s}-y_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} U_{s}+U_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)+x_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} V_{s}+V_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right] d z  \tag{40}\\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \ddot{q}_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} m\left[U_{r} U_{s}+V V_{s}+r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r} \Phi_{s}-y_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} U_{s}+U_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)+x_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} V_{s}+V_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right] d z \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} \omega_{r}^{2}\left(\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \Theta_{x s}+\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \Theta_{y s}\right) d z+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \ddot{q}_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \Theta_{x s}+\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \Theta_{y s}\right) d z \\
& =\int_{0}^{L}\left[f_{x}(\xi, t) U_{s}+f_{y}(\xi, t) V_{s}+m_{x}(\xi, t) \Theta_{x s}+m_{y}(\xi, t) \Theta_{y s}+g(\xi, t) \Phi_{s}\right] d z
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation is as follows after simplifying and changing the order of integral and sign $\sum$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} m \omega_{r}^{2}\left[U_{r} U_{s}+V_{r} V_{s}+r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r} \Phi_{s}-y_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} U_{s}+U_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)+x_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} V_{s}+V_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right] d z  \tag{41}\\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \ddot{q}_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} m\left[U_{r} U_{s}+V V_{s}+r_{m}^{2} \Phi_{r} \Phi_{s}-y_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} U_{s}+U_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)+x_{c}\left(\Phi_{r} V_{s}+V_{r} \Phi_{s}\right)\right] d z \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} \omega_{r}^{2}\left(\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \Theta_{x s}+\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \Theta_{y s}\right) d z+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \ddot{q}_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho I_{x} \Theta_{x r} \Theta_{x s}+\rho I_{y} \Theta_{y r} \Theta_{y s}\right) d z \\
& =\int_{0}^{L}\left[f_{x}(\xi, t) U_{s}+f_{y}(\xi, t) V_{s}+m_{x}(\xi, t) \Theta_{x s}+m_{y}(\xi, t) \Theta_{y s}+g(\xi, t) \Phi_{s}\right] d z
\end{align*}
$$

Regarding the property of the orthogonality of modes given in (35), it was observed that for all values of $r \neq s$, the value of the two integrals on the left of the above equation is eliminated, only for $r=s$ the following equation will remain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} m \omega_{r}^{2}\left[\left[U_{r}\right]^{2}+\left[V_{r}\right]^{2}+r_{m}^{2}\left[\Phi_{r}\right]^{2}-2 y_{c} U_{r} \Phi_{r}+2 x_{c} V_{r} \Phi_{r}\right] d z  \tag{42}\\
& +\ddot{q}_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} m\left[\left[U_{r}\right]^{2}+\left[V_{r}\right]^{2}+r_{m}^{2}\left[\Phi_{r}\right]^{2}-2 y_{c} \Phi_{r} U_{r}+2 x_{c} V_{r} \Phi_{r}\right] d z \\
& +q_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L} \omega_{r}^{2}\left[\rho I_{x}\left[\Theta_{x r}\right]^{2}+\rho I_{y}\left[\Theta_{y r}\right]^{2}\right] d z+\ddot{q}_{r}(t) \int_{0}^{L}\left[\rho I_{x}\left[\Theta_{x r}\right]^{2}+\rho I_{y}\left[\Theta_{y r}\right]^{2}\right] d z \\
& =\int_{0}^{L}\left[f_{x}(z, t) U_{r}+f_{y}(z, t) V_{r}+m_{x}(z, t) \Theta_{x r}+m_{y}(z, t) \Theta_{y r}+g(z, t) \Phi_{r}\right] d z
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation can be expressed in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ddot{q}_{r}(t)+\omega_{r}^{2} q_{r}(t)\right)=\frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \int_{0}^{L}\left[f_{x}(z, t) U_{r}+f_{y}(z, t) V_{r}+m_{x}(z, t) \Theta_{x r}+m_{y}(z, t) \Theta_{y r}+g(z, t) \Phi_{r}\right] d z \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By introducing the following parameters,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \int_{0}^{L} f_{x}(z, t) U_{r}(z) d z=F_{x r}(t)  \tag{44a}\\
& \frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \int_{0}^{L} f_{y}(z, t) V_{r}(z) d z=F_{y r}(t)  \tag{44b}\\
& \frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \int_{0}^{L} m_{x}(z, t) \Theta_{x r}(z) d z=M_{x r}(t)  \tag{44c}\\
& \frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \int_{0}^{L} m_{y}(z, t) \Theta_{y r}(z) d z=M_{y r}(t)  \tag{44d}\\
& \frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \int_{0}^{L} g(z, t) \Phi_{r} d z=G_{r}(t) \tag{44e}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, equation (43) is rewritten as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{q}_{r}(t)+\omega_{r}^{2} q_{r}(t)=F_{x r}(t)+F_{y r}(t)+M_{x r}(t)+M_{y r}(t)+G_{r}(t) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, there are an infinite number of equations similar to equation (45) and one equation for each mode. The partial differential equation (1) for unknown functions $u(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), v(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}) \theta_{x}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}) \theta_{y}(z, t)$ and $\Phi(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})$ are transferred into an infinite set of ordinary differential equations (45) in terms of $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})$ unknowns.

For the applied dynamic loads of $f_{x}(z, t), f_{y}(z, t), m_{x}(z, t), m_{y}(z, t)$ and $g(z, t)$ the unknown system functions $u(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})$, $v(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), \theta_{x}(z, t), \theta_{y}(z, t)$ and $\phi(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})$ could be determined by solving the modal equations in terms of $q_{r}(\mathrm{t})$. The equation of each mode is independent to the other modes; thus, it could be solved separately. For solving equation (45), which is similar to the form of movement equation for single degree of freedom (SDOF) system, Duhamel's integral is used. Therefore, the answer of equation (45) is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{r}(t)=\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t\right)+\frac{1}{\omega_{r}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{r}(\tau)+M_{y r}(\tau)+M_{x r}(\tau)+F_{y r}(\tau)+F_{x r}(\tau)\right) \sin \omega_{r}(t-\tau) d \tau \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

After determining and $q_{r}(\mathrm{t})$, by using equations (37) and (46), system response to arbitrary dynamic forces $f_{x}(z, t), f_{y}$ $(z, t), m_{x}(z, t), m_{y}(z, t)$ and $g(z, t)$ is as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} U_{r}(z)\left(\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{\mathrm{n}} \sin \omega_{r} t\right)+\frac{1}{\omega_{r}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{r}(\tau)+M_{y r}(\tau)+M_{x r}(\tau)+F_{y r}(\tau)+F_{x r}(\tau)\right)\right.  \tag{47a}\\
& \left.\quad \times \sin \omega_{r}(t-\tau) d \tau\right) \\
& v(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} V_{r}(z)\left(\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t\right)+\frac{1}{\omega_{r}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{r}(\tau)+M_{y r}(\tau)+M_{x r}(\tau)+F_{y r}(\tau)+F_{x r}(\tau)\right)\right.  \tag{47b}\\
& \left.\times \sin \omega_{r}(t-\tau) d \tau\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{x}(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{x r}(z)\left(\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t\right)+\frac{1}{\omega_{r}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{r}(\tau)+M_{y r}(\tau)+M_{x r}(\tau)+F_{y r}(\tau)+F_{x r}(\tau)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \sin \omega_{r}(t-\tau) d \tau\right) \\
& \theta_{y}(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{y r}(z)\left(\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t\right)+\frac{1}{\omega_{r}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{r}(\tau)+M_{y r}(\tau)+M_{x r}(\tau)+F_{y r}(\tau)+F_{x r}(\tau)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \sin \omega_{r}(t-\tau) d \tau\right) \\
& \phi(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{r}(z)\left(\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t\right)+\frac{1}{\omega_{r}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{r}(\tau)+M_{y r}(\tau)+M_{x r}(\tau)+F_{y r}(\tau)+F_{x r}(\tau)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \sin \omega_{r}(t-\tau) d \tau\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The obtained responses can be used for any arbitrary deterministic loading. In the following, the response against deterministic harmonic load is calculated using equations (47) for instance.

In this part, it was assumed that the centralized harmonic forces having $F_{x i}$ amplitudes are applied in the direction of $x$ axis in the points $Z_{i}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ the forces with $F_{y i}$ amplitudes are applied in the direction of $y$ axis in the points $Z_{i}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$, bending moments having $M_{x i}$ amplitudes are applied in $x-z$ plane around $y$ axis and in the points $Z_{i}=C_{i}$, bending moments with $M_{y i}$ amplitudes are applied in $y$-z plane around $x$ axis and in the points $Z_{i}=d_{i}$, and torsional moments with $G_{i}$ amplitudes are applied around $z$ axis and in the points $Z_{i}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$, where $i=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots, N$. The mentioned applied loads are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{x i}(z, t)=F_{x i} \delta\left(z-a_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{48a}\\
& f_{y i}(z, t)=F_{y i} \delta\left(z-b_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{48b}\\
& m_{x i}(z, t)=M_{x i} \delta\left(z-c_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{48c}\\
& m_{y i}(z, t)=M_{y i} \delta\left(z-d_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{48d}\\
& g_{i}(z, t)=G_{i} \delta\left(z-e_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t \tag{48e}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\omega_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the rotational frequency of the applied loads.
In this state, by using equations (44), the generalized loads functions become,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{x i r}(z, t)=\frac{1}{\mu_{r}} F_{x i} U_{r}\left(a_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{49a}\\
& F_{y i r}(z, t)=\frac{1}{\mu_{r}} F_{y i} V_{r}\left(b_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{49b}\\
& M_{x i r}(z, t)=\frac{1}{\mu_{r}} M_{x i} \Theta_{x r}\left(c_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{49c}\\
& M_{y i r}(z, t)=\frac{1}{\mu_{r}} M_{y i} \Theta_{y r}\left(d_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t  \tag{49d}\\
& G_{i r}(z, t)=\frac{1}{\mu_{r}} G_{i} \Phi_{r}\left(\mathrm{e}_{i}\right) \sin \omega_{i} t \tag{49e}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the response of $u(z, t), v(z, t), \theta_{x}(z, t), \theta_{y}(z, t)$ and $\phi(z, t)$ to assumed applying loads is as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} U_{r}(z)\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} U_{r}(z) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{i}^{2}\right)}\left(F_{x i} U_{r}\left(a_{i}\right)+F_{y i} V_{r}\left(b_{i}\right)+\right.\right.  \tag{50a}\\
& \left.M_{x i} \Theta_{x r}\left(c_{i}\right)+M_{y i} \Theta_{y r}\left(d_{i}\right)+G_{i} \Phi_{r}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \sin \omega_{i} t \\
& v(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} V_{r}(z)\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} V_{r}(z) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{i}^{2}\right)}\left(F_{x i} U_{r}\left(a_{i}\right)+F_{y i} V_{r}\left(b_{i}\right)+\right.\right.  \tag{50b}\\
& \left.\quad M_{x i} \Theta_{x r}\left(c_{i}\right)+M_{y i} \Theta_{y r}\left(d_{i}\right)+G_{i} \Phi_{r}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \sin \omega_{i} t \\
& \theta_{x}(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{x r}(z)\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{x r}(z) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{i}^{2}\right)}\left(F_{x i} U_{r}\left(a_{i}\right)+F_{y i} V_{r}\left(b_{i}\right)+\right.\right.  \tag{50c}\\
& \left.\quad M_{x i} \Theta_{x r}\left(c_{i}\right)+M_{y i} \Theta_{y r}\left(d_{i}\right)+G_{i} \Phi_{r}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \sin \omega_{i} t \\
& \theta_{y}(z, t)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{y r}(z)\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Theta_{y r}(z) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{i}^{2}\right)}\left(F_{x i} U_{r}\left(a_{i}\right)+F_{y i} V_{r}\left(b_{i}\right)+\right.\right.  \tag{50d}\\
& \left.\quad M_{x i} \Theta_{x r}\left(c_{i}\right)+M_{y i} \Theta_{y r}\left(d_{i}\right)+G_{i} \Phi_{r}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \sin \omega_{i} t \\
& \phi(z, t)=  \tag{50e}\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{r}(z)\left(A_{r} \cos \omega_{r} t+B_{r} \sin \omega_{r} t+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{r}(z) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{i}^{2}\right)}\left(F_{x i} U_{r}\left(a_{i}\right)+F_{y i} V_{r}\left(b_{i}\right)+\right.\right. \\
& \left.M_{x i} \Theta_{x r}\left(c_{i}\right)+M_{y i} \Theta_{y r}\left(d_{i}\right)+G_{i} \Phi_{r}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \sin \omega_{i} t
\end{align*}
$$

## 6. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The following example is presented in order to validate the formulation proposed in the present paper.
In this example, a thin-walled cantilever beam having semicircular section with one axis of symmetry is investigated. The physical and geometrical specifications of the studied section are as follows (Fig. 3):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=68.9 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~Pa} \quad, \quad I_{y}=9.26 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{4} \quad, \quad I_{x}=1.77 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{4} \\
& I_{\omega}=1.52 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}^{6} \quad, \quad r_{m}^{2}=5.998 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad, \quad m=.0835 \mathrm{~kg} . \mathrm{m}^{-1} \\
& L=0.82 \mathrm{~m}, \quad y_{c}=0.0 \mathrm{~m}, \quad x_{c}=0.0155 \mathrm{~m}, \quad G=26.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~Pa}, \quad J=1.64 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this example, it was assumed that unit harmonic forces with unit amplitude are applied to the tip of the cantilever beam and the transitional bending displacement, rotational and torsional angles were calculated at the cantilever beam tip under the applied harmonic load with different frequencies. For calculating the response, the first five modes of vibration were used. Therefore, the first five bending-torsion coupled natural frequencies and vibrating modes were first calculated with the help of the dynamic stiffness matrix. The calculated natural frequencies are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The first five natural frequencies.

| $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{H Z})$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{R a d} / \boldsymbol{S e c} \boldsymbol{)}$ | Frequency order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 399.0379 | 63.5089 |
| 2 | 863.4747 | 137.4263 |
| 3 | 1733.26 | 275.8580 |
| 4 | 3023.24 | 481.1625 |
| 5 | 4020.25 | 639.8936 |



Fig. (3). Beam cross-section used in numerical example.

Then, for each mode, the values of $\mu$ were calcluted. For the first five modes, the $\mu$ as follows:

$$
\mu_{1}=4.5944, \quad \mu_{2}=4.5453, \quad \mu_{3}=2.5601, \quad \mu_{4}=3.6127, \quad \mu_{5}=4.7357
$$

By using equations (50) and considering $F_{x}=0, M_{x}=0, G=0$ and $F_{y}=1$ as well as the location of the point, $\mathrm{b}=$ 0.82 m , the intended responses were calculated at the cantilever edge point and plotted in the semi-logarithmic diagrams of Figs. (4,5, and 6). In the mentioned figures, the absolute magnitudes of the obtained values were considered on the vertical axis, which is a logarithmic axis.


Fig. (4). Dynamic transitional bending displacement of thin-walled beam at its tip for different frequencies of the applied load.


Fig. (5). Dynamic rotational bending displacement of thin-walled beam at its tip for different frequencies of the applied load.


Fig. 6. Dynamic torsional displacement of thin-walled beam at its tip for different frequencies of the applied load.

## CONCLUSION

In the present study, an analytical method is proposed for determining the dynamic response of asymmetric thinwalled beams subjected to different types of centralized and distributed definitive dynamic loads. In order to solve vibration problems, the study used accurate dynamic stiffness matrix. Since the dynamic stiffness matrix is derived from analytical solution of the differential equations of movement, it makes it possible to calculate natural frequencies and vibrating modes accurately and without any loss in the precision. The natural frequencies and mode shapes were obtained by using Wittrick-Williams algorithm.

Due to the general shape of the beam (i.e. a perfect asymmetric section), the mass and shear centers were not coincident and thus, flexural and torsional vibrations were observed to be dependent on each other. Accordingly, determining the analytical response of the 3D thin-walled beam with asymmetric section against dynamic deterministic loads is considered as a very complicated problem. Using the introduced dynamic stiffness matrix in combination with modal analysis method, this complexity can be addressed.

By using the formulation presented in this paper, the dynamic response of members with arbitrary asymmetric section can be derived also with different boundary conditions under any arbitrary applied definitive dynamic loading at various points.
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